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ABSTRACT

Objective: to analyze the biosafety measures adopted by nursing undergraduates in daily activities in spaces of laboratory practices. Method: descriptive, qualitative analysis study performed at a Higher Education Institution in the period from April to June 2018, with 30 students. For the analyses of interviews, Collective Subject Discourse was utilized. Results: as for Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) usage, 24% used gloves, followed by white coat and mask, with 19% and 18% each, respectively. Referring to moments of Hand Hygiene (HH) during the laboratory practices, 32% performed the hygiene before and 26% after the practice. It is observed that the scholars’ knowledge about biosafety is broad but limited to PPE usage. Conclusion: it is verified that the use of biosafety measures in this environment is still a challenge, for it be limited to PPE and HH usage.

Descriptors: Containment of Biohazards; Occupational Risks; Health Education; Occupational Health Nursing.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: analizar las medidas de bioseguridad adoptadas por estudiantes de enfermería en la práctica diaria de los espacios de laboratorio. Método: estudio descriptivo, de análisis cualitativo realizado en una Institución de Ensenanza Superior en el periodo de abril a junio de 2018, con 30 estudiantes. Para las análisis de las entrevistas utilizó-se o Discurso do Sujeito Coletivo. Resultados: quanto ao uso de Equipamentos de Proteção Individual (EPI), 24% usaram luvas, seguido do jaleco e máscara, com 19% e 18% cada um, respectivamente. No que se refere aos momentos de Higienização das Mãos (HM) durante as práticas laboratoriais, 32% realizam a higiene antes e 26% após a prática. Observa-se que o conhecimento dos acadêmicos sobre biosegurança é amplo, mas limitado ao uso do EPI. Conclusión: verifica-se que o uso de medidas de biosegurança nesse ambiente ainda é um desafio, por ser limitado ao uso de EPI e HM.

Dialectores: Contención de Riesgos Biológicos; Risco Ocupacional; Educação en Saúde; Enfermagem do Trabalho.
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INTRODUCTION
Biosafety relates to a set of measures aimed at preventing, minimizing or eliminating inherent risks concerning activities of research, education, production, provision of service and technological development. These measures have as objective promotion of human, animal health, preservation of environment and quality of results.¹

With respect to the biosafety measures in laboratory practices in health education, it is very important to highlight its relevance for health professionals and, mainly, during professional development process. Practical activities in laboratories are part of everyday life of undergraduates in health, and the biosafety knowledge is essential because the handling of materials as well as the performed procedures may endanger the individual, collective, environment and all who walk in laboratory.²

When considering the work routine in health facilities, professional development, mainly from nursing, demands that the professional be aware to biosafety measures to ensure the protection of his health. Therefore, the biosafety education is necessary to professionals since their development, preparing them for professional life by means of disciplines that approach the subject and instructing them about biosafety standards in laboratory and practical classes. Thus, the professionals will be sufficiently prepared and safe to walk into any health facility and develop their activities.³

It is worth noting that studies involving accidents occasioned in research laboratories and health services are still incipient, but there are already important attitudes related to the theme.⁴ In this sense, the emerging discussion about biosafety measures adopted by nursing undergraduates in daily activities in spaces of laboratory practices is necessary to know undergraduates adherence to biosafety standards, their knowledge about biosafety, Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Collective (CPE) usage and their ease and difficulty in utilizing this equipment.

Therefore, it was chosen as objective to analyze the biosafety measures adopted by nursing undergraduates in daily activities in spaces of laboratory practices.

METHOD
It is a descriptive study performed at a Higher Education Institution (HEI), private, located in city of Teresina, state of Piauí, Brazil. The study period was from April to June 2018.

Scholars from undergraduate nursing course who were attending practical subjects, that is, those who were properly enrolled and attending 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th grade participate in the study. The sample was intentional, firstly the invite for study participation was performed on each one of the grades mentioned above and, later, interviews were scheduled in private place.

The interviews were previously scheduled and performed at private clinic after participants’ consent by signing Informed Consent (IC), on the premises of the selected institution, according to participants’ availability. The interviews were approximately 15 minutes long each. The interview technique was guided by a semi-structured script composed by two parts: sociodemographic data and four questions about practices with safety based on manuals about biosafety from Ministry of Health⁵ and regulatory
standards 6 and 32 (What do you understand about biosafety? Which Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) you utilize in your laboratory practices? What difficulties do you have in using PPE? In which moments of laboratory practices the hand hygiene is performed?).

For quantitative data analysis, descriptive statistics were utilized, and for qualitative data analysis, Collective Subject Discourse (CSD) was utilized. In this study, the CSD results were presented in two thematic categories followed by their respective Central Ideas (CI), sequenced by selected samples of verbal material of individual testimonies that better described their contents and the discussion.8-9

The present study follows all the ethical and legal aspects of Resolution 466/12 of National Health Council, and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Centro Universitário UNINOVAFAPI on February 14, 2019 with Opinion No. 3,147,766

RESULTS
Thirty nursing students from third, fourth and fifth grade participate in the study. Most of the students were female, with an average age of 20 years.

As for the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) usage by nursing students in laboratory practices, most of them use gloves, followed by white coat and mask, respectively, with the highest percentages according to Figure 1.

**Figure 1:** Personal protective equipment usage by nursing students in laboratory practices.

Regarding the hand hygiene moments performed by students during laboratory practices, it occurs most often before and after practice (Figure 2).
From the analyses of interviews, Collective Subject Discourses were built, divided in two central ideas, with their respective key words. The central ideas were: knowledge about biosafety; and difficulties in utilizing PPE.

1st Central Idea (CI): Knowledge about biosafety
Key expressions:
- Prevention;
- Preventing accidents;
- Self-protection;
- Personal Protective Equipment;
- Technical standards;
- Risk minimization.

Collective Subject Discourse

Biosafety is a form of prevention, performed in laboratory, for preventing accidents. It is our self-protection. It is a set of actions aimed at professional and patient protection against diseases and microorganisms, aimed at preventing accidents in work environment. One of these actions includes PPE usage that is the basic protection equipment. For example, the use of gloves in doing some procedure with some contamination risk. Biosafety also approaches technical standards for safety of work environment such as laboratories for risk minimization. Biosafety in health is important for our development as future professionals. (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, A9, A10, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15, A16, A17, A18, A19, A20, A21, A22, A23, A24, A25, A26, A27, A28, A29, A30).

2nd Central Idea (CI): Difficulty in using personal protective equipment
Key expressions:
- PPE availability;
- Changing gloves;
- Putting on sterile gloves;
- Fatigue;
- Risks.
Collective Subject Discourse

Most times, there is no difficulty, and when it exists is in relation to PPE availability in the health institution, changing gloves, practice of putting on sterile gloves and fatigue in utilizing mask. PPE is often missing in the institution, and this makes the practice more difficult and exposes us to risks. The constant changing of sterile gloves refers to the high number of patients to be attended. The practice of putting on sterile gloves is associated with the own practice, then the more we practice, the less difficulties we will have. In some situations, there is fatigue due to mask usage, mainly, in prolonged use and in non-refrigerated environment. (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, A9, A10, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15, A16, A17, A18, A19, A20, A21, A22, A23, A24, A25, A26, A27, A28, A29, A30).

DISCUSSION

According to the demographic data of nursing students, it was verified that 96.67% of the students are female, which was already expected once females are still predominant in nursing, as other national and international studies about the profile of nursing undergraduate show us. Concerning age, a young profile is observed, with an average age of 20 years, corroborating with the literature. The young age group in undergraduate courses may be explained due to the Brazilian government incentive in higher education.

As for Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) usage in laboratory practices reported by students, the present study evidenced that the most used ones are gloves, white coat and mask. In a study developed with nursing undergraduates from Paraíba, it was noticed that gloves (86.7%), masks (80.6%) and disposable caps (53.5%) were the PPE that obtained the most adherence by nursing scholars. Study performed in Nigeria described that 100% of nursing scholars reported the importance of glove usage before executing an invasive procedure. In relation to the least used PPE, it was noticed that the usage of safety goggles and surgical cap presented only 4% and 1%, respectively. Similar and contradictory data were found, the similarity was with relation to the usage of safety goggles because 8.8% of the students reported that they have never used them. The contradictory data concern the use of surgical cap because 84% of students claimed that they always utilize this PPE.

It is noteworthy that, according to Regulatory Standard (NR) 6, PPE is every device or product used in an individual way for risk protection, its usage will depend on the professional’s work environment. NR 32 establishes safety and health guidelines for professionals who work in health facilities. These standards must be known by all health students, both in simulation environment and in practical environment, because they will lead the risk prevention and safety attitudes in the development of health practices.

Regarding the reality about the PPE usage, it is verified that the active adoption of biosafety measures in laboratory practices by nursing scholars still pose a challenge because non-adherence to some PPE continues to occur. The education institute support in providing PPE and the emphasis to biosafety discussion become, then, essential in the early years of
undergraduate course. Moreover, the professors must be more demanding with the students regarding the adoption of coherent and fundamental measures to ensure their own protection as well as the patient’s safety.

Referring to Hand Hygiene (HH), in this study the nursing scholars reported that the moments HH is most performed are before and after the laboratory practices. The literature acknowledges this measure as effective in prevention of Healthcare-associated Infections (HAI) because it reduces the transmission of pathogenic microorganisms parallelly to the increase of professionals’ adherence to HH procedure.\textsuperscript{17} International study reports that 98\% of nursing scholars claim that know when and how to perform the HH in patient care; believe that HH is effective in preventing the transmission of infections; and believe that the undergraduate nursing course highlights the HH importance when taking care of patients.\textsuperscript{18}

According to the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (ANVISA), HH is preconized in five moments: before contacting with patient and performing clean/aseptic procedures and after contacting with patient, risk of exposure to body fluids and contacting with surfaces next to patient.\textsuperscript{5} As for HH importance, it is worth mentioning that nursing students and professionals are exposed to risk of acquiring infections by direct contact with patient and his environment. However, when the contact involves body fluids and potential contaminated regions, the adherence to HH increases, realizing the seeking after self-care by students and professionals. This practice prevents the cross-contamination of microorganisms and the environment contamination.\textsuperscript{19}

The non-performance of HH before contacting with patient or aseptic procedure is a risk to patients’ safety because it leads to microorganism transmission in patient care environment, even more when the patient’s vulnerability to infections is considered.\textsuperscript{20}

Referring to scholars’ knowledge about biosafety in health, the students summed it up in one word: “prevention”. It is observed that the knowledge is broad but limited to PPE usage. This limitation may be associated with theme simplification in development of professional nurse, the lack of rigor by professors concerning the biosafety standards in practice places, from laboratory to supervised practice. The acquirement of knowledge about biosafety in health influences the scholars in concept construction, while the practice with the use of standards ease the attitude development by students, which is essential for reflection, knowledge construction and learning by pupil.

It should be noted that the students point out the biosafety in health as an important theme to be discussed in professional development, but to follow the biosafety standards, they need to adopt a prevention attitude, that depends on a learning process. Study performed with nursing undergraduates describes that the PPE education is, also, taught in simulation laboratory.\textsuperscript{21}

The simulation is used for supplementing the didactic training, it can represent additional benefits in comparison with expositive class. The clinical setting is indispensable and for this, the student should go to clinical practice with all biosafety measures internalized to his practice,
and the lecture is given in a pure educational environment, does not include practical training and contributes with the continuous training outside classroom.\textsuperscript{18}

Respecting the difficulties in utilizing PPE, the scholars reported that most of the time there is no difficulty and when there is any, it is related to the lack of PPE, changing gloves, practice of putting on sterile gloves and fatigue in utilizing mask. Corroborating with this study, authors presented in their study that the low adherence of nursing students to PPE usage had as factors with most representativeness among undergraduates: physical discomfort (75.8\%) and PPE unavailability or inadequacy in health units (46.6\%).\textsuperscript{22} Students from an international study reported that they often find it difficult to use PPE (21\%) and perform HH (19\%) when busy.

The study presents as limitation the sampling type used in the study, but the periods approached consider undergraduates in laboratory practices, objective of this study.

The manuscript brings important contributions for nursing and public health, related to knowledge and comprehension of the biosafety teaching process in higher education courses in health. The data presented in this study become a great ally in identifying defects in nursing development related to biosafety; in acknowledging the factors that make the adherence of biosafety measures difficult; in current reflection between the school world and work world, when presenting the need of building an educational process that articulates the professional development with the professional practice needs.\textsuperscript{16}

**CONCLUSION**

Biosafety measures adopted by nursing undergraduates in daily activities in spaces of laboratory practices are related to the use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Hand Hygiene (HH). The most used PPE are gloves, white coat and mask, and the moment when the HH practice is most performed is before and after the laboratory practices, according to students’ reports. As regards the scholars’ knowledge about biosafety in health, the students summed it up in one word: “prevention”.

It is verified that the use of biosafety measures in this environment is still a challenge because according to what was reported the knowledge is broad but limited to PPE and HH usage. This limitation may be associated with the theme simplification in development of professional nurse, the lack of rigor by professors concerning the biosafety standards in practice places, from laboratory to supervised practice.
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